

The Tulalip Tribes of Washington



I-5 / 4th ST and 88th ST NE
Corridor Improvements

Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

ADDENDUM NO. 5 – August 6, 2026
to
Request for Bid Proposals

The Tulalip Tribes of Washington

ADDENDUM NO. 5 – August 6, 2026

Sealed bid proposals will be received by the Tulalip Tribes of Washington, at the Job Shack Site located at 11404 - 34th Avenue NE, Tulalip, WA for the following Project:

Tulalip Tribes *Project No. 2026-014*
I-5 / 4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Tulalip, Washington

Related to Request for Bid Proposals
Bid Package 2026-014
I-5 / 4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements

until Tuesday, August 11, 2026, at 2:00 p.m., at which time all bids will be opened and read aloud. All required bid documentation shall be submitted to 11404 - 34th Avenue NE Job Shack Site by the scheduled bid date and times. ORAL, TELEPHONIC, FAXED, OR TELEGRAPHIC BIDS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

This Addendum is being issued to provide clarifications to certain Bid Document related issues and or questions received from potential Bidders related to the above Project. Submitted Request for Bid Proposals shall conform to the requirements of this Addendum. Unless specifically changed and/or amended by this Addendum all other requirements, terms and conditions of the Bid Documents and any previous addenda shall remain unchanged.

1. The following changes, additions, and/or deletions to the Bid Documents dated June 25, 2026, hereby become a part of the Bid Documents.
2. Bidders shall notify all their Subcontractors affected by this Addendum.
3. It is essential that prospective Bidders note the contents of this Addendum and the Tulalip Tribes of Washington be made aware the Addendum has been received. Therefore, Bidder shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum on the Request for Bid Proposal form.

CLARIFICATIONS

Contractor questions and responses through August 6, 2026, at 11:21 a.m. have been provided for information only. Provisions of Contract Documents remain in full force and effect and are not impacted from the information attached to this Addendum.

NOTICE TO BIDDERS

1. No Change.

INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

1. No Change

SPECIFICATIONS

1. No Change

DRAWINGS

1. No Change

BID PROPOSAL FORM

1. Revised Bid Proposal Form to include spaces for all 5 addendums

CONTRACT FORM

1. No change

ATTACHMENTS

1. Contractor Clarifications Q&A
2. Revised Bid Proposal Form BPF-1

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 5

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
1	6/30/2026	Josh Schoneman - Reece Construction	We have two requests that would help us and other contractors prepare a bid on this project. •Provide a link to the plans/specs that are in the original vector PDF format...the BXWA severely degrades the quality of the documents when they post them. •Provide the AutoCAD files for the project. Having these two things will greatly assist contractors in being able to better analyze the documents and provide a more comprehensive bid. We are more than happy to sign any releases that the engineers may have to release these documents and files. We also understand that the only official place to view the documents is the BXWA.	The pdf document are uploaded to the Tribes site as indicated in the Notice to Bidders. The design was done in Microstation, No AutoCAD files are available at this time.	6/30/2026
2	7/1/2026	Chris - RW Scott Construction	Is there an engineer's estimate for the project?	Yes, the Engineer's Estimate range is being published by addendum	7/14/2026
3	7/8/2026	Asked during Prebid Meeting	Can the bid date be moved from 8/10/26, a Monday, to 8/11/26, a Tuesday?	Bid date has been changed to 8/11/26. See Addendum No. 1	7/14/2026
4	7/8/2026	Asked during Prebid Meeting	Is WSDOT providing inspections and materials testing?	Yes, WSDOT will be providing inspections and material testing for the freeways and ramps portion of the project. Inspections and material testing for sewer and water utility work will be inspected by the Tribes.	7/14/2026
5	7/8/2026	Asked during Prebid Meeting	Is there an As-Built requirement? Tulalip Tribes GIS Department would like digital files.	Yes. See Section Twenty-Two of the Construction Contract and Section 1-05.18 - Record Drawings in the Special Provisions. Digital files will be provided to Tulalip's GIS Department by Parametrix when the final Record Drawings are prepared.	7/14/2026
6	7/8/2026	Asked during Prebid Meeting	Is the project awarded by the lowest responsive bidder?	Please refer to Article 7 - Contract Award and Execution of the Instructions to Bidders for the awarded criteria.	7/14/2026
7	7/8/2026	Asked during Prebid Meeting	Are there fiber vaults in the North and South 88th sidewalks that are being moved?	Please refer to the Contract Plans for details.	7/14/2026
8	7/8/2026	Asked during Prebid Meeting	If the engineer's estimate is not released how will contractor's know what dollar limit the project is for the prequalification process with WSDOT?	The Engineer's Estimate Range is published in Addendum 1	7/14/2026
9	7/15/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Addendum 1's Contractor Clarifications #6 states that the award of the contract is per Article 7 of the Instructions to Bidders. Article 7 indicates the contract shall be awarded "based upon the expectation that the lowest or most responsive and responsible Bidder". Article 3.5.1 of the Instructions to Bidders indicates the contract shall be awarded to the "lowest responsive and responsible Bidder". Please clarify.	Article 7 will be revised to match article 3.5.1	7/22/2026
10	7/15/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Plan sheet WD7 of Volume 4, indicates the LDCC thickness for Walls W12A and W13. The tables show the minimum thickness will have the LDCC substantially below the bottom of the fascia in many cases. Is this intended? Please clarify.	Yes, the intent is for LDCC to extend below fascia as recommended by the geotechnical report.	7/22/2026
11	7/15/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will the contractor be charged any connection fees for the landscape and sanitary sewer pump station water services?	The contractor will not be responsible for the cost of connections to water or sewer	7/22/2026
12	7/15/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will the owner change to following bid items to force account per WSDOT standard payment? B33, G52, H33, & J30.	Yes, these will be changed to FA	7/22/2026

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
13	7/15/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Does the owner intend to create a LS traffic control item for every schedule? If not, where do we include any traffic control costs for the schedules that are missing the item?	The Traffic control plans are intended to cover the main schedules (A-D and G-J). The minor schedules (E, F, K and L) work can be covered under the main work Traffic control.	7/22/2026
14	7/15/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will the owner provide cross-sections for the project?	Yes, to the selected contractor	7/22/2026
15	7/22/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Quantity Tab Note 3 on plan sheet SPS in Volume 4 calls for the removal of an existing retaining wall. To accurately evaluate and provide pricing for both the demolition of this wall and the construction of Wall W18—including considerations for temporary shoring and site access—more information is required. Will you provide as-builts for the existing walls to be removed on the project?	No reliable as-builts are available, please bid on the quantity shown	7/22/2026
16	7/22/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will the owner provide as-builts for bridges 5/561E and 5/561W?	No reliable as-builts are available	7/22/2026
17	7/22/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will the owner or WSDOT be correcting the current erosion issues at bridges 5/561E and 5/561W prior to the project start?	Not before the project is awarded	7/22/2026
18	7/22/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will you provide grading sections for the HMA driveways?	None will be provided, will build back what is currently there. Will determine this during construction	7/22/2026
19	7/22/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Does the owner need to create a bid item for 30" shafts in schedule G?	Correct, bid item added to Schedule G	7/22/2026
20	7/22/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	High-Density Polyethylene Pipe SDR specification.	No special provision needed, this is a standard APWA/WSDOT item, see the 2025 WSDOT/APWA standard specifications	7/22/2026
21	7/22/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	No specific standard dimension ratio (SDR) is noted for HDPE pipe bid items.	See section 9-05.23 of the 2025 WSDOT/APWA Standard specifications.	7/22/2026
22	7/22/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	At your earliest opportunity, please clarify what specific SDR is required for HDPE pipe bid items or confirm that the proposed HDPE pipe SDR is to be per WSDOT STD SPEC 9-05.23 which allows a minimum SDR of 32.5.	No specific SDR is required. Use the standard specifications.	7/22/2026
23	7/22/2026	Austin Brenner - Faber Construction	Will bidder qualification be based on the contractor's maximum bidding capacity as established by WSDOT?	The Tulalip Tribes will evaluate all bids in accordance with the Instructions to Bidders (ITB). This includes but is not limited to checking each bidders status on the WSDOT list and spreadsheet of prequalified contractors. https://wsdot.wa.gov/business-wsdot/contracts/about-public-works-contracts/how-bid-contract/step-1-prequalify . Maximum bidding capacity, specific work classes, or other prequalification requirements normal to obtaining WSDOT prequalification on a WSDOT contracting agency project are not required as part of submitting a bid or specifically required for evaluation. However, in accordance with the ITB, additional information may be requested by the Tulalip Tribes to confirm the bidders are responsible and responsive. See ITB 3.5.	7/30/2026
24	7/22/2026	Austin Brenner - Faber Construction	Will bidder qualification be based on one or more WSDOT classes of work, such as Class 6 – Bridges and Structures?	See response to Question 23.	7/30/2026
25	7/22/2026	Austin Brenner - Faber Construction	If classes of work will be used, please identify: o The required class or classes; o The minimum dollar rating required for each class.	See response to Question 23.	7/30/2026

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
26	7/22/2026	Austin Brenner - Faber Construction	Will the Tulalip Tribes or Parametrix independently verify each bidder's WSDOT prequalification status, or must bidders submit separate documentation or a request for authorization to bid?	See response to Question 23.	7/30/2026
27	7/22/2026	Austin Brenner - Faber Construction	If neither WSDOT bidding capacity nor WSDOT work-class ratings will control, please provide the qualification matrix or other criteria that will be used to determine whether a prime bidder is qualified and responsible.	See response to Question 23.	7/30/2026
28	7/22/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	With a ESAL requirement of 45.6 million, in spec section 5, does the owner want to be using a 58H-22 binder?	Section 5-04.2(2) 45.6 Million ESAL is incorrect. That is the design version for only one location. The correct number should have been the calculated design ESAL, which is 5.3 million.	7/30/2026
29	7/23/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	What is the peak flow rate of the existing gravity sanitary sewer 18" pipe? See plan sheet UW6/199. This information will be needed for sizing and pricing bypass pumping.	Will clarify with added special provision.	7/30/2026
30	7/23/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Will current water service remain active if the contractor cuts and caps the existing watermain on east and west sides of the bridge a Coho Cr. or, is a temporary waterline needed to be installed prior to removing the existing? See plan sheet UW2/195	Will clarify with added special provision.	7/30/2026
31	7/23/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	What type and thickness of pipe is required for the 10" gas sleeve @ the Coho Cr. bridge?	The casing for the 10" gas sleeve shall be black steel pipe conforming to ASTM A53 and have a minimum wall thickness of 0.250 inches.	7/30/2026
32	7/23/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Does the owner want the contractor to include the price for the sanitary and watermain utility hangers in the pipe items or the LS bridge structure?	Utility hangers (braces) are part of the LS contract price for Coho Creek Bridge, see Special Provision 6.02.4.	7/30/2026
33	7/27/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Has the owner made any arrangements for power shutdowns to install infiltration vaults A & B? Refer to plan sheet DR 2 & DR 6 volume 4.	No, but SnoPUD is aware of the work in this area and throughout the project. The Contractor should communicate with them prior to construction of the vaults. It may be determined, since there is sufficient separation with the power, that it may not need to be positively located and/or deenergized. Contact information is in special provision 1-07.17. The contract has pay items for utility potholing as well as utility conflict resolution and this is one area that these may be needed. However, the stormwater facilities are not in direct conflict of the power lines based on previous potholing and information from SnoPUD.	7/30/2026
34	7/27/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	Lack of clarity correlating bid schedule quantities vs Structure Notes, drawings, and profiles for storm, sewer, and water lines.	Not enough information.	7/30/2026
35	7/27/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	Bid date extension request.	No there will not be an extension.	7/30/2026
36	7/27/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	Vicinity map drawings VM1 and VM2 do not help provide sufficient information to be able to accurately takeoff pipe materials per the bid schedules. Without a specific column noted on Structure Notes plan sheets that shows the bid schedule letter delineation of each line-item and the inclusion of bid schedule delineation lines being clearly marked on profile plan sheets, the ability to accurately allocate all pipe materials to their correct bid schedule items in a timely fashion is incredibly unlikely.	The vicinity map and schedule designations are meant as a guide for accounting and funding purposes. Quantities for each schedule are shown in the bid schedules. Provide bids as shown in the schedules.	7/30/2026

**Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014**

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
37	7/27/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	Please provide revised Profile drawings and Structure Notes that clearly show the bid schedule letter allocation of all water, sewer, and storm materials noted on Structure Notes plan sheets so that the Structure Notes do not show single line-item quantities that are split between multiple bid schedules. Structure Notes plan sheets could be used much more effectively if each Structure Note sheet was specific to one bid schedule each.	See response to question 36. Revised drainage profiles and structure notes will not be provided.	7/30/2026
38	7/27/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	Please confirm the approval of a minimum 1-week bid extension.	No there will not be an extension.	7/30/2026
39	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	In the Instructions to Bidders on page IB-1 Section 1.1.2 and 1.1.3 details that the Contractor will pay a onetime Fee of 1.75% and that it is all due in full prior to commencement of work. There are line items for the 1.75% TERO fee in each of the Bid Proposal Schedules. Is the 1.75% TERO fee simply a pass-through payment to the Contractor of the 1.75% fee for the entire project that immediately goes to the Tulalip Tribe?	The TERO fee is added to each bid schedule for each payment to the Contractor. See the TERO Code included in the contract documents. The TERO Office can be contacted directly at terocompliance@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov for additional information.	7/30/2026
40	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Special Provision 1-07.2 details how Sales Tax is to be applied on the project. For the Bid Proposal Schedules outside Tribal Reservation Boundary (Bid Proposal Schedules C, D, F, I, J, and L) will the Tribe add a line item for Retail Sales Tax for the total of each Bid Proposal Schedule outside Tribal property for the Contractor to collect and then pay to the State of Washington?	No. Please refer to Specification Section 1-07.2(2), Lines 3-20.	7/30/2026
41	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	The remarks in Table 1 on plan sheet WD7 of Volume 4, state that the contractor may excavate with vertical cut supported by shoring, or with sloped sides in accordance with the geotechnical report. If shoring or extra excavation is used to support the excavation for LDCC backfill, under what bid item is it paid for?	Special provision 2-20.5 is be modified to include all extra excavation or any work with LDCC be part of that pay item	7/30/2026
42	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Per the response to Question 10 in Addendum 2, the table on plan sheet WD7 of Volume 4 is correct, and the LDCC backfill for walls W12A and W13 is to extend below the fascia. WSDOT Standard Specification Section 6-16.5 states that "all costs in connection with non-shaft excavation, including all excavation required for placement of timber lagging, shall be included in the unit Contract price per cubic yard for "Roadway Excavation". Will the excavation required for placement of the LDCC backfill be paid under the bid item for Roadway Excavation? If not, under which bid item will this work be paid?	See response to number 41.	7/30/2026
43	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Special Provision 1-09.7 Mobilization modified the mobilization payment specification to two-thirds for mobilization and one-third for demobilization. Additionally, there is 5% retainage per Special Provision 1-09.9(1) to protect the Owner. Please consider changing the Special Provision 1-09.7 Mobilization specification back to the Standard Specification 1-09.7 that does not wait to pay for part of the mobilization bid item for demobilization to help aid in the large upfront costs for mobilization on the project.	Section 1-09.7 will be removed in its entirety. The Standard specifications will be utilized.	7/30/2026

**Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014**

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
44	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Addendum 2 provided the Tulalip Tribes Building, Grading and Demolition Permits. They state that all construction activity shall occur between the hours of 7:00 am and 7:00 pm, except Sundays and holidays. Will night work be allowed?	The permits will defer to what's needed for the project to move forward regarding night work. Updated permits provided	8/3/2026
45	7/28/2026	Steve Schlimmer - Granite Construction	Addendum 2 provided the Tulalip Tribes Building, Grading and Demolition Permits. They state the average sound shall not be greater than 75 dB during a 1-hour period of work. Will noise exemptions be granted for activities such as concrete demolition or pile driving?	The permits will defer to what's needed for the project to move forward regarding sound level. Updated permits provided	8/3/2026
46	7/29/2026	Patrick Miller - Cimco-GC Systems	Do you have written specs that include the complete model number and optimal features for the 12" 631-08 valve?	The flow valve indicated is in the City of Marysville system and is part of the existing metering system that we are replacing in kind for the project due to impacts. There is no current available information on the existing system.	7/30/2026
47	7/31/2026	T. Ross Good - Liberty Electric	... please confirm that all costs associated with utility primary service design, utility extensions, and related utility service fees are to be excluded from the bidding package.	Confirmed, all costs for utility service design and coordination are excluded from the bidding package. The Tribes are coordinating this with SnoPUD	8/3/2026
48	8/3/2026	Tammy Berg - Western Systems	RRFB construction notes & details do not match sign schedule. Please advise if we are to follow RRFB details for Tulalip, WSDOT, & Marysville regarding sign size, or follow sign schedule. See below for discrepancies found. •Tulalip RRFB details call for 15" X 21" W16-7P signs. Sign schedule calls for W16-7P signs to be 12"X 24" •WSDOT RRFB detail call for 30" x 18" W16-7P signs. Sign schedule calls for W16-7P signs to be 12" X 24". Sign schedule also does not account for signs on RRFB #D2 on plan sheet ILW1(274) or RRFB #H1 on plan sheet ILW2 (275). Should the arrows signs for RRFB C2 & D2 on ILW1 (274) & ILW2 (408) be Dual Right / Left Arrows? •Marysville RRFB details call for 15" X 21" W16-7P signs. Sign schedule calls for 12" X 24" Should sign #s 158-161 on SN6 (456) be right arrows instead of left listed on sign schedule?	Revised plan sheets are included in the addendum that address these questions	8/3/2026
49	8/3/2026	Tammy Berg - Western Systems	RRFB Construction Notes & details call for 4" RRFB poles at Marine Drive & 3rd, Marine Drive & I-5 SB On & Off-Ramps, & Marine Drive & I-5 NB On & Off-Ramps. Sign Schedule calls for square 2.5 X 2.5" poles. Please advise if we are to following RRFB details or sign schedule for RRFB poles.	Post size for WSDOT jurisdiction to remain as Type FB and updated in Sign schedule. ILW sheets construction notes updated to be "Type FB poles" Follow RRFB Details. Appropriate revised plan sheets are included in the addendum that addresses these questions	8/3/2026
50	8/3/2026	Robert Kvinsland - Donald B Murphy Contractors	Please clarify if all piles will be CDF/Lean Mix full depth or if some piles receive 4000 PSI structural concrete in embed portion of pile? Notes/details seem to conflict.	The plans have the correct callouts. Piles are called out as CDF/Lean Mix on the plans, except portion of pile 14 with permanent casing which should be 4000 psi	8/4/2026
51	8/3/2026	Robert Kvinsland - Donald B Murphy Contractors	Please define coating length of soldier piles. Will the piles need to be coated full depth or will 2' below bottom of excavation be acceptable?	2' below bottom of excavation is acceptable	8/4/2026

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
52	8/3/2026	Robert Kvinsland - Donald B Murphy Contractors	Bid Schedule J29 calls out 55 Lf of W24x335 but I don't see that beam size within the soldier pile schedules on sheet WD4 or WD10. Please include the plan sheet those soldier piles are on in a Q&A response.	Revised quantities are included. No plan sheet for W24x335 as that one is not used and quantity reduced to zero	8/4/2026
53	8/3/2026	Robert Kvinsland - Donald B Murphy Contractors	Coming up short on quantities comparing soldier pile schedule quantities from WD4 and WD10 to the steel procurement quantities in bid schedules. Approximately 50 LF short on total quantity of HP14x117, 103' short on total quantity of W18x211, and 127' short on total quantity of W24x192. Was there a rounding method used when populating your bid schedules?	Revised quantities are included	8/4/2026
54	8/3/2026	Shane Boekenooogen - Service Electric	Will there need to be a design associated with the Temp signals at 4th and 88th or has WSDOT approved the temp signal design on the plans?	Temporary signal design is included in the plans and approved by WSDOT. The contractor can always propose changes to it	8/3/2026
55	8/4/2026	T. Ross Good - Liberty Electric	Per plan ILT4, new poles T.A7 and T.D6 are shown on the plans. However, east of these foundations, the plan also identifies two wire notes labeled "OB," which we believe are shown in error. Per plan ILT7, there are no circuits east of these new poles. Please confirm these paired wire notes are incorrect and may be disregarded.	Wire Notes "OB" in this instance are correct. Per the Wire Schedule on ILT5 they are correctly calling out a 2" spare, with footnote that they are in the retaining wall barrier. No wires are indicated in Schedule for Wire Note OB.	8/4/2026
56	8/4/2026	T. Ross Good - Liberty Electric	Schedule B includes all work between Stations MF 106+40 and 113+60. Schedule C appears to include all work east of Station 113+60 through Station 116+70. However, upon reviewing plans ILT3, ILM1, ILW2, ILW4, ILW6, TS1.2, TS2.2, TS3.2, TS4.2, and IT2, the ITS, Traffic Signal, and Illumination systems extend across these schedule limits. At present, Schedule C contains no bid item that clearly captures this electrical work. Please advise how these systems are to be divided between Schedules B and C. In your response, please consider where the ownership boundaries should occur and avoid dividing systems in the middle of conduit runs or other continuous infrastructure. This information is critical well in advance of bid because our vendors must coordinate with the lighting representatives to properly assign poles, equipment, and material to the appropriate bid schedule so that their quotations accurately reflect the Owner's design intent.	See response to question number 36	8/4/2026

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
57	8/4/2026	Ben Forsman - HD Fowler Company	RFI-3 Regarding: • Bid Item K29: FJ DB RESTRAINT FLEXIBLE EXPANSION JOINTS-18 IN. • VOL.4 Plan Sheets: UW2, BR42, BR43, RFI-3 Issues: • Plan Sheet UW2 calls out the flexible expansion joints to have MJ X MJ connections, though sheets BR42 and BR43 callout for an RFCA connection which would only work if the expansion joint has flanged ends. Sheets BR42 and BR43 have so many mistakes on them it's unclear what are design mistakes and what are simply overlooked typo's (e.g., 18" pipe also called out as 13" pipe, 30" casing also called out as 50" casing, sewer pipe also called out as water pipe, etc....) RFI-3 Clarification Requested: • Please confirm that the callout for the flexible expansion joints to be MJ X MJ is a mistake and that they should be called out as FL X FL, or else please confirm that the RFCA's called out at the end of the expansion joints are not needed and that the MJ X MJ expansion joints are to be connected directly to the plain end of the 18" pipe.	The callouts on sheet UW2 are correct and the ones on the bridge plans should state "Romic Restraint System (per project specifications)". The project specifications are clear. Errors noted for sheets BR42 and BR43 were not found, (specifically, the example provided)	8/4/2026
58	8/4/2026	Ryan Guthmiller - Valley Electric	Would it be possible to get a week extension on this bid?	See response to question number 38	8/4/2026
59	8/3/2026	Sarah Freeland - Interwest Construction	To furnish the most complete and competitive bid possible, we request the bid date to please be extended by one week.	See response to question number 38	8/4/2026
60	7/31/2026	Sarah Freeland - Interwest Construction	Please verify the bid item quantities for Structural Earth Walls. Our initial takeoffs are generating total SF quantities nearly double of those supplied. Wall 18 alone exceeds the total combined quantities provided in the bid schedules.	All S.F. quantities for the structural earth walls in Volume 4 (88th Street NE) have been checked and updated in the revised bid schedules. The S.F. quantities on the plans for these walls are incorrect.	8/4/2026
61	8/4/2026	Robert Kvinsland - Donald B Murphy Contractors	Will overhead power lines near drilling locations be relocated to avoid safety conflicts?	No, the contractor will need to coordinate with SnoPUD as indicated in the contract specifications. Depending on the proximity of the desired equipment, de-energization may be needed, but not expected.	8/4/2026
62	8/4/2026	Sarah Freeland - Interwest Construction	Section 6.1.1 Bid Guarantee – Please confirm if the Bid Proposal Bond is submitted then the Form of Bid Guaranty and Contract Bond and Statement of Intended Surety are not required.	Please include all forms with bid submittal, if not applicable, leave blank.	8/5/2026
63	8/4/2026	Sarah Freeland - Interwest Construction	Per Section Q Builder's Risk of the Sample Construction Contract (Spec Page 121) – Please confirm if the Tribe will maintain a Builders Risk Insurance Policy.	Yes, per Section Q of Sample Construction Contract.	8/5/2026
64	8/5/2026	Galen Moore - Valley Electric	Regarding Sheet ILM1 – Volume 3 Illumination Plan – Marysville - Wire Note #43 appears to be referenced on the plan; however, I cannot locate the corresponding note. I reviewed the following page where the wire notes are listed, and it appears the list only goes through Wire Note #6. I also cross-referenced the ITS drawings in Volume 3, and those wire notes also only extend to #42. Could you please clarify what Wire Note #43 consists of, or advise if this is an omission in the plan set?	Conduit/Wire Note 43 on Sheet ILM2 shall require a 2" SPARE conduit.	8/5/2026

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
65	8/5/2026	Galen Moore - Valley Electric	Regarding Sheet ILW2 – Volume 3 Illumination & RRFB Plan (WSDOT). Construction Note #11, as defined in the construction notes on Sheet ILW9, states to install a transformer and transformer cabinet. However, per the snip below, there is a second CN#11 shown adjacent to CN#9, with both notes appearing to point to the same item. Can you please clarify whether the second CN#11 is intentional and if an additional transformer and transformer cabinet should be included, or if this is simply a drafting error on the plan sheets?	This is a drafting error. Only one transformer (005xf19914) is required. The correct transformer location shows a transformer symbol or transformer ID.	8/6/2026
66	8/5/2026	Galen Moore - Valley Electric	Regarding Sheet ILW3 – Volume 3 Illumination & RRFB Plan (WSDOT). Construction Note #7, as indicated in the attached snip below, appears to be missing from the Construction Notes page on Sheet ILW9. Could you please clarify what Construction Note #7 is supposed to be?	Construction Note 7 should have been “INTERCEPT EXISTING CONDUIT AND ADD JUNCTION BOX. SPLICE NEW TRANSFORMER CIRCUIT WIRES TO EXISING IN NEW JUNCTION BOX.”	8/6/2026
67	8/5/2026	Izaak Fox - Interwest Construction	There are discrepancies between the profile views and the “Stormwater Treatment Vault” table for the following units: 4th St (Volume 3) (C) DR11-3 (F) DR4-30 88th St NE (Volume 4) (F) (G) (K) Please clarify which elevations to use – profile views or the Table.	The inlet invert elevations shown in the storm drain profiles shall govern. The treatment-vault outlet invert shall be established from the downstream pipe length, slope, and connecting structure invert shown in the profiles. The resulting difference between the inlet and outlet invert elevations is the available drop through the treatment vault.	8/6/2026
68	8/5/2026	Izaak Fox - Interwest Construction	Unit (G) DR10-2 is too shallow. Rim to outlet invert depth must be a min. of 3', elevations to be adjusted accordingly.	Maintain the inlet and outlet invert elevations shown in the Contract Plans. The Contractor shall coordinate the final vault configuration with the manufacturer to meet the specified requirements and fit the available elevations. Adjust the vault top, risers, and grade rings as necessary to match final surface grade. Changes to pipe inverts or slopes require Engineer approval.	8/6/2026
69	8/5/2026	Izaak Fox - Interwest Construction	The “Stormwater treatment vault option 2” standard detail shows a 4x21 MWS unit, which does not have internal bypass capabilities. Please confirm that MWS units are intended to be sized for internal bypass?	Refer to the treatment and 100-year peak flow rates shown in the Contract Plans and the bypass and flow-control requirements of Section 8-27.2(2). Final system sizing and configuration shall be coordinated by the Contractor with the selected manufacturer.	8/6/2026
70	8/5/2026	Izaak Fox - Interwest Construction	There is a very minor amount of foreign steel in MWS units, approximately \$3,400 for all the MWS units in total for the project. Can you please confirm if this amount is acceptable to be used toward the total amount of allowable foreign steel for the project?	This amount is acceptable.	8/6/2026

Tulalip I-5/4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements
Contractor Clarification Q&A
Tulalip Tribes Project No. 2026-014

Item No.	Date of Question:	Submitted By:	Question Asked:	Response:	Date of Response:
71	8/6/2026	Tammy Berg - Western Systems	For the temporary signal cabinets, a standard WSDOT cabinet will require engineering review by the manufacturer to determine the modifications needed to reinforce the cabinet and install heavy-duty hardware, making it suitable for mounting on a wood pole. This will increase the cost & lead time of the cabinets. Would you reconsider mounting the cabinet(s) on a foundation instead of 4 feet up on a wood pole?	For Volume 3: The second sentence of Temporary Signal Standard and Equipment Note (5) on Sheets TS1-4, TS2-3, TS3-3 and TS4-3 shall be revised to read as follows: CABINET SHALL BE BAND MOUNTED TO THE BACK OF POLE WITH BOTTOM OF CABINET 4 FT ABOVE GROUND OR GROUND MOUNTED ON A 12" MIN. HIGH CONCRETE RISER ON 9-IN MIN. THICK EMBEDDED CONC. SLAB. For Volume 4: The second sentence of Temporary Signal Standard and Equipment Note (5) on Sheets TS1-4, TS2-3, TS3-3, TS4-3, TS5-3, TS6-3 and TS7-3 shall be revised to read as follows: CABINET SHALL BE BAND MOUNTED TO THE BACK OF POLE WITH BOTTOM OF CABINET 4 FT ABOVE GROUND OR GROUND MOUNTED ON A 12" MIN. HIGH CONCRETE RISER ON 9-IN MIN. THICK EMBEDDED CONC. SLAB.	8/6/2026
72	8/6/2026	Galen Moore - Valley Electric	I wanted to clarify whether the pull boxes shown on the drawings that do not have associated construction notes should be included in our estimate, similar to the Type 1, Type 2, and Type 8 handholes. Some of the pull boxes do have associated construction notes, so I wanted to confirm whether those without notes are existing pull boxes, or if they are intended to be new and should be included in our estimate. Additionally, Construction Note #12 on ITN1 – Volume 3 ITS Notes references Sheet ITD59 for the camera and cabinet details. I have not been able to locate Sheet ITD59 within the plan set. Could you please provide some direction on where this detail can be found?	If it shows the proposed pull box symbol, then a new pull box is needed. Yes, this is similar to the Type 1, Type 2, and Type 8 handholes. Existing boxes are shown with the existing symbol. The instance pointed out is a special situation that has a note to specify the proposed pull box needed to be the bottomless type, so that it could be set over the top of the existing box it was replacing. There is an existing junction box symbol shown there and a construction note calling for the existing box to be removed. Note 12 is revised as follows (both volumes): For Volume 3: The last sentence of ITS Construction Note 12 on Sheet ITN1 shall be revised to read as follows: CONNECT THE CAMERA CONTROL CABLE IN THE EXISTING CAMERA CABINET AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. WSDOT ITS STAFF WILL PROVIDE ACCESS TO THE CABINET. For Volume 4: The last sentence of ITS Construction Note 12 on Sheet ITN1 shall be revised to read as follows: CONNECT THE CAMERA CONTROL CABLE IN THE EXISTING CAMERA CABINET AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. WSDOT ITS STAFF WILL PROVIDE ACCESS TO THE CABINET.	8/6/2026

The Tulalip Tribes of Washington

BID PROPOSAL FORM

Project Name: I-5 / 4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements Date of Bid: _____

Location of Project: I-5 / 4th ST and 88th ST NE
Tulalip, WA 98271

COMPANY NAME OF BIDDER: _____

CERTIFIED NATIVE AMERICAN OWNED BUSINESS:

YES _____ If Yes, Percentage (%) of Indian Ownership: _____ **NO** _____

Having read and examined the Contract Documents, including without limitation the Drawings and Specifications, prepared by the Engineer and the Tulalip Tribes of Washington for the above-referenced Project, and the following Addenda:

ADDENDA ACKNOWLEDGED (Enter Addenda Number and Date of Addenda below):

- | | |
|----------|----------|
| 1. _____ | 2. _____ |
| 3. _____ | 4. _____ |
| | 5. _____ |

The undersigned Bidder proposes to perform all Work for the applicable Contract, in accordance with the Contract Documents, for the following sums:

Tulalip Tribes Project No.: 2026-014 I-5 / 4th ST and 88th ST NE Corridor Improvements Project

Refer to Division 0, TERO Code, and Special Provisions, Section 1-07.2 State Taxes, for application of TERO and Taxes.